

# ADJUDICATION



IN THE MATTER OF A GENERAL ENQUIRY INTO ALLEGED SOLICITOR  
NEGLIGENCE; between:

Adian McGuinness, Applicant v Adrian Greaney, Solicitor, Respondent

.....

Having considered the above-listed matter as per the terms, conditions, procedures and authorities laid out in the respective foundation documents of The People's Tribunal of Ireland; and upon consideration of the evidence supplied by the Parties to this matter as to the question of any breach of the Respondent's 'duty of care' to the Applicant's as per the 'Definition of Solicitor Negligence' (Ex B), I hereby find as follows: (*\*delete as required*).

\* (i) Application upheld.

~~\* (ii) Application not proven.~~

[Optional]: My reasons for this finding are further explained below / ~~on the rear of this form~~  
~~/ or by attached \_\_\_\_\_ (number of) pages.~~

*The history of this case is complicated but the evidence of serious, repeat solicitor negligence is not. In addition to the technical failures of service and bad advice given, it is clear that the Respondent Mr Greaney was not acting in the best interests of his client for quite some time, and even though he had effectively withdrawn his services and was not even properly communicating with Mr McGuinness, that he kept on invoicing him.*

[Optional]: After consideration of the respective legislation, I further affirm my opinion that there have been violations of the legislation listed below (and/or overleaf), as indicated, sufficient to qualify as criminal offences.

*It seems clear to me on the simple basis of the need for a solicitor to practice with a minimal level of professional honesty that the Applicant Mr McGuinness has fair cause to make whatever civil or criminal claims against his ex-solicitor Mr Adrian Greaney (and Mr Butler for that matter) and I would personally encourage him to do so, if only to make a statement of intent to solicitors who are negligent or who engage in deliberate fraud, that there will be consequences. I wish Mr McGuinness well in his endeavours to recover some of his misplaced funds. This should never have lasted 22 years.*

I hereby conclude this adjudication.

PTI received \_\_\_\_\_ 7.6.25 \_\_\_\_\_

Adjudicator No: \_\_\_\_\_ 3 \_\_\_\_\_

File No: \_\_\_\_\_