

# ADJUDICATION



IN THE MATTER OF A GENERAL ENQUIRY INTO ALLEGED SOLICITOR NEGLIGENCE; between:

Adian McGuinness, Applicant v Adrian Greaney, Solicitor, Respondent

.....

Having considered the above-listed matter as per the terms, conditions, procedures and authorities laid out in the respective foundation documents of The People's Tribunal of Ireland; and upon consideration of the evidence supplied by the Parties to this matter as to the question of any breach of the Respondent's 'duty of care' to the Applicant's as per the 'Definition of Solicitor Negligence' (Ex B), I hereby find as follows: (*\*delete as required*).

\* (i) Application upheld.

~~\* (ii) Application not proven.~~

[Optional]: My reasons for this finding are further explained below / ~~on the rear of this form~~  
~~/ or by attached \_\_\_\_\_ (number of) pages.~~

*The terms of what corresponds to "Solicitor Negligence" are all exemplified in the Applicant's Statement of Truth & Facts which was not challenged or rebutted by the Respondent. The bundle of Exhibits accompanying proved that the Respondent continued to invoice his client long after goodwill and proper solicitor services had been effectively withdrawn. The added fact that the Respondent's own solicitors advised the Applicant that some special or expert report was required to advance his domestic High Court case, but that 21 solicitors' firms in succession have failed or refused to provide the same to Mr McGuinness implies an insider-driven legal system that protects those in legal practice and does not place genuine, objective justice as a priority.*

[Optional]: After consideration of the respective legislation, I further affirm my opinion that there have been violations of the legislation listed below (and/or overleaf), as indicated, sufficient to qualify as criminal offences.

*The legal principle that "Fraud vitiates everything" was not specifically raised in the Application but should I believe be quoted in any future examination of this Application including the historical issues of original fraud by Solicitor (MB) which was not properly addressed by the Respondent, which therefore allows Ulster Bank to continue to unfairly pursue the Applicant. The Exhibits also show several unacceptable practices being engaged in by the Respondent which, in conjunction with the largely unjustified sums being claimed, suggest deliberate preplanned deception as regards the bills lodged against the Applicant's account. It is my opinion that all monies paid should be refunded and that formal complaints should be lodged alleging criminal negligence and criminal fraud by the Respondent Adrian Greaney, Solicitor.*

I hereby conclude this adjudication.

PTI received 3.6.25

Adjudicator No: 2

File No: \_\_\_\_\_