

ADJUDICATION



IN THE MATTER OF AN ARTICLE 40.1 ENQUIRY BETWEEN

Dr Stephen Manning, Publisher, Applicant

&

Michael MacGrath, High Court Judge, Respondent

Having considered the matter listed above as per the terms, conditions, procedures and authorities laid out in the respective foundation documents of The Peoples Tribunal of Ireland; and upon consideration of the evidence supplied by the Parties to this matter as to the question of any Article 40.1 violations of the Applicant's Constitutional rights by the Respondent(s), I hereby find as follows: (**delete as required*).

**(i) Application upheld.*

[Optional]: My reasons for this finding are further explained below / on the rear of this form / or by attached _____ (number of) pages.

The Applicant presented his case in public according to the terms of the Peoples Tribunal. The Respondent failed to present any counter arguments. The evidence presented by the Applicant was overwhelmingly convincing and established beyond any question that his Article 40.1 rights had been repeatedly violated by the Respondent (and complicit others) in a manner that is wholly inconsistent with the lawful administration of justice.

[Optional]: After consideration of the respective legislation, I further affirm my opinion that there have been violations of the legislation listed below (and/or overleaf), as indicated, sufficient to qualify as criminal offences.

In violating the Applicant's Article 40.1 rights, the Respondent Judge Michael MacGrath has committed several offences against the administration of justice and has acted in a manner wholly unbecoming a judge of the High Court. In respect of the criminal offences of conspiracy, fraud and deception and of facilitating perjury and multiple contempts of Court by parties coming before him to the detriment of the Applicant's case, I am recommending that these issues be referred to the PTI Prosecutor for action.

I hereby conclude this adjudication.

PTI received _____

Adjudicator No: 2

File No: _____